

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

ENTTED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virgnia 22313-1450 www.usplo.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/703,976	11/07/2003	Marvin A. Honnell	MH-2003-001US	2842	
7590 02/28/2005			EXAMINER		
HARISH DHINGRA			BUSHEY, CHARLES S		
10700 ROCKLI HOUSTON, TX			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
21000101., 12			1724		
		DATE MAN I CD. 02/29/2005			

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	·			U)			
		Application No.	Applicant(s)				
Office Action Summary		10/703,976	HONNELL				
		Examiner	Art Unit				
		Scott Bushey	1724				
Peri	The MAILING DATE of this communication app od for Reply	ears on the cover sheet with	the correspondence addr	ess			
	A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply if NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period vo Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	36(a). In no event, however, may a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (3 vill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS cause the application to become ABANI	be timely filed O) days will be considered timely. S from the mailing date of this common to the time to the common to the com	nunication.			
Stat	us						
	1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on						
		_· action is non-final.					
	☐ Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is						
	closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.						
Disp	position of Claims						
	4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-75</u> is/are pending in the application.						
	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdray	· ·					
	5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.						
	☐ Claim(s) is/are rejected.						
	7) ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to.						
	B)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-75</u> are subject to restriction and/or e	election requirement.					
Арр	lication Papers						
	9) \square The specification is objected to by the Examine	r.					
	10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.						
	Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).						
1	1) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex		*	` '			
Prio	rity under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
1	2) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of:	priority under 35 U.S.C. § 1	19(a)-(d) or (f).				
	1. ☐ Certified copies of the priority document:	s have been received					
	2. Certified copies of the priority documents		ication No	•			
	3. Copies of the certified copies of the prior	• •		200			
	application from the International Bureau	•	Served III triis Ivational St	aye			
	* See the attached detailed Office action for a list	, ,,	ceived.				
- - ۸	h-mont/o)						
1) [hment(s) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) 🔲 Interview Sum	mary (PTO-413)				
.) 2) [Notice of References Cited (F10-092) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	Paper No(s)/M	ail Date				
3) 🗀	Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)	· —	mal Patent Application (PTO-1	52)			
	Paper No(s)/Mail Date	6) Other:					

Application/Control Number: 10/703,976 Page 2

Art Unit: 1724

Election/Restrictions

1. Restriction to one of the following inventions is required under 35 U.S.C. 121:

- I. Claims 1-28, drawn to a packing element, classified in class 261, subclass 95.
- II. Claims 29-51, drawn to a packing element, classified in class 261, subclass 111.
- III. Claims 52-66, drawn to a column, classified in class 202, subclass 158.
- IV. Claims 67-69, drawn to a reaction process, classified in class 422, subclass 4.
- V. Claims 70-75, drawn to a molding process, classified in class 264, subclass 219.

 The inventions are distinct, each from the other because of the following reasons:
- 2. Inventions I and II are related as subcombinations disclosed as usable together in a single combination. The subcombinations are distinct from each other if they are shown to be separately usable. In the instant case, invention I has separate utility such as use as a child's toy, i.e., a Wiffle ball. See MPEP § 806.05(d).
- 3. Inventions III and I are related as combination and subcombination. Inventions in this relationship are distinct if it can be shown that (1) the combination as claimed does not require the particulars of the subcombination as claimed for patentability, and (2) that the subcombination has utility by itself or in other combinations (MPEP § 806.05(c)). In the instant case, the combination as claimed is not restrictable from the subcombination as claimed, and therefore if applicant elects either of Inventions I or III, the non-elected invention of the pair will be examined on the merits along with the elected invention of the pair.
- 4. Inventions IV and I are related as process and apparatus for its practice. The inventions are distinct if it can be shown that either: (1) the process as claimed can be practiced by another materially different apparatus or by hand, or (2) the apparatus as claimed can be used to practice

Art Unit: 1724

another and materially different process. (MPEP § 806.05(e)). In this case the apparatus as claimed can be used to practice another and materially different process, such as one in which the materials worked on are not reactants, but are instead inert with respect to one another.

- 5. Inventions V and I are related as process of making and product made. The inventions are distinct if either or both of the following can be shown: (1) that the process as claimed can be used to make other and materially different product or (2) that the product as claimed can be made by another and materially different process (MPEP § 806.05(f)). In the instant case the product as claimed can be made by another and materially different process, such as assembly of the product from perform materials, either by solvent welding in the case of plastic materials, or soldering, brazing or welding in the case of metallic preforms.
- 6. Inventions III and II are related as combination and subcombination. Inventions in this relationship are distinct if it can be shown that (1) the combination as claimed does not require the particulars of the subcombination as claimed for patentability, and (2) that the subcombination has utility by itself or in other combinations (MPEP § 806.05(c)). In the instant case, the combination as claimed does not require the particulars of the subcombination as claimed because the combination as claimed does not require the conic section to be in spoked form. The subcombination has separate utility such as use as a child's toy, i.e., a Wiffle ball.
- 7. Inventions IV and II are related as process and apparatus for its practice. The inventions are distinct if it can be shown that either: (1) the process as claimed can be practiced by another materially different apparatus or by hand, or (2) the apparatus as claimed can be used to practice another and materially different process. (MPEP § 806.05(e)). In this case the apparatus as

Art Unit: 1724

claimed can be used to practice another and materially different process, such as one in which the materials worked on are not reactants, but are instead inert with respect to one another.

- 8. Inventions V and II are related as process of making and product made. The inventions are distinct if either or both of the following can be shown: (1) that the process as claimed can be used to make other and materially different product or (2) that the product as claimed can be made by another and materially different process (MPEP § 806.05(f)). In the instant case the product as claimed can be made by another and materially different process, such as assembly of the product from perform materials, either by solvent welding in the case of plastic materials, or soldering, brazing or welding in the case of metallic preforms.
- 9. Inventions IV and III are related as process and apparatus for its practice. The inventions are distinct if it can be shown that either: (1) the process as claimed can be practiced by another materially different apparatus or by hand, or (2) the apparatus as claimed can be used to practice another and materially different process. (MPEP § 806.05(e)). In this case the apparatus as claimed can be used to practice another and materially different process, such as one in which the materials worked on are not reactants, but are instead inert with respect to one another.
- 10. Inventions V and III are related as process of making and product made. The inventions are distinct if either or both of the following can be shown: (1) that the process as claimed can be used to make other and materially different product or (2) that the product as claimed can be made by another and materially different process (MPEP § 806.05(f)). In the instant case the product as claimed (packing element within the column) can be made by another and materially different process, such as assembly of the product from perform materials, either by solvent

Application/Control Number: 10/703,976

Art Unit: 1724

welding in the case of plastic materials, or soldering, brazing or welding in the case of metallic

Page 5

preforms.

Inventions IV and V are unrelated. Inventions are unrelated if it can be shown that they 11.

are not disclosed as capable of use together and they have different modes of operation, different

functions, or different effects (MPEP § 806.04, MPEP § 808.01). In the instant case the different

inventions have different modes of operation, different functions, or different effects.

12. Because these inventions are distinct for the reasons given above and have acquired a

separate status in the art as shown by their different classification, restriction for examination

purposes as indicated is proper.

13. This application contains claims directed to the following patentably distinct species of

the claimed invention:

Species A: Figs. 1 and 2;

Species B: Fig. 3; and

Species C: Fig. 5.

Applicant is required under 35 U.S.C. 121 to elect a single disclosed species for

prosecution on the merits to which the claims shall be restricted if no generic claim is finally

held to be allowable. Currently, no claim appears to be generic to each of the disclosed species.

Applicant is advised that a reply to this requirement must include an identification

of the species that is elected consonant with this requirement, and a listing of all claims

readable thereon, including any claims subsequently added. An argument that a claim is

allowable or that all claims are generic is considered nonresponsive unless accompanied by an

election.

Application/Control Number: 10/703,976

Art Unit: 1724

Upon the allowance of a generic claim, applicant will be entitled to consideration of claims to additional species which are written in dependent form or otherwise include all the limitations of an allowed generic claim as provided by 37 CFR 1.141. If claims are added after the election, applicant must indicate which are readable upon the elected species. MPEP § 809.02(a).

Should applicant traverse on the ground that the species are not patentably distinct, applicant should submit evidence or identify such evidence now of record showing the species to be obvious variants or clearly admit on the record that this is the case. In either instance, if the examiner finds one of the inventions unpatentable over the prior art, the evidence or admission may be used in a rejection under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) of the other invention.

- 14. Applicant is advised that the reply to this requirement to be complete must include an election of the invention to be examined even though the requirement be traversed (37 CFR 1.143).
- 15. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Scott Bushey whose telephone number is (571) 272-1153. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Thursday 6:30AM-5:00PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Duane Smith can be reached on (571) 272-1166. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Application/Control Number: 10/703,976

Art Unit: 1724

Page 7

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Scott Bushey Primary Examiner Art Unit 1724

csb 2-23-05

7-23-05